

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4458	
10/016,724	10/30/2001	Trent W. Davis	930007-2001		
20999	7590 04/08/2005	•	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			BASINGER, SHERMAN D		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK,	141 10151		3617	3617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,724		DAVIS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sherman D.	Basinger	3617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the c	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> .								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	The second secon							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
-	·							
4)[Claim(s) 1 and 4-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5\	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
10/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmo	nt(e)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
, —	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of informat Patent Application (FTO-132) 6) Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							

Application No. 10/016,724

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: marked up copy of figure 7 of patent 2,613,169.

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

2. Applicant in response to the above requirement argues that the declaration filed February 19, 2002 identified the mailing address of each applicant. However, the declaration filed February 19, 2002 clearly identifies only the residence and citizenship of each inventor. In the beginning of the declaration it is stated that the residence, post office and citizenship is stated below next to each inventor's name. However, only the residence and citizenship is stated next to each inventor's name. The objection to the declaration stands.

Specification

3. In line 3 of the replacement paragraphs filed March 21, 2004 for the paragraphs starting on page 6, line 15 and ending on page 7, line 19, the patent number -6,860,218- is incorrectly given as "6,860,216".

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/016,724

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-6, 9, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cunningham.

The clamping mechanism is made up of 54 and 56. The ring portion is 54. The ring receiving surface is 56. The ring portion 54 has an enlarged radially extending member which is curved and extends outward as is shown on the marked up copy of figure 7 of Cunningham attached to this office action. The complementary curved surface of the ring receiving surface is also pointed out in the marked up drawings. The axially located hub is 55. The axially located member of the ring receiving surface is the aperture of surface 56. The load bearing device are the threads of the aperture of surface 56. The threads of surface 56 are adjustable along the hub to adjust the amount of clamping force. The means to couple a tow cable is 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

7. Claims 7, 8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham in view of Doerpinghaus.

The ring portion 54 and the ring receiving surface 57 of Cunningham do not include openings which allow egress and ingress of fluid to and from the interior of the tubular structure. Note 38 of the ring portion 33 of Doerpinghaus. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide to 55 of Cunningham a opening similar to 38 of Doerpinghaus, such opening allowing egress and ingress of fluid to and from the interior of the tubular structure and providing an opening in the ring portion and ring surface to do so. Motivation to do so is to replace 16 of Cunningham with such an opening. This would make the container cheaper to make.

The means for closing off flow of fluid to and from the tubular structure of claim 8 would be similar to 36 of Doerpinghaus. With regard to claim 10, the means for coupling a tow cable thereto would be similar to 37 of Doerpinghaus.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments urge that claim 1 is allowable because applicant amended claim 1 to define: clamping mechanism for closing said opening, said mechanism having a receiving portion in which said end is inserted between a ring portion having an

Art Unit: 3617

enlarged radially extending member which is curved and extends outward and a ring receiving surface and thereafter a clamping force is placed thereon clamping said end between said ring portion and said ring receiving surface thereby affixing said mechanism to said end.

These arguments are not persuasive because <u>a ring portion having an</u>

<u>enlarged radially extending member which is curved and extends outward and a ring</u>

<u>receiving surface</u> does not define in sufficient detail how the enlarged radially extending member is curved. Ring portion 54 of Cunningham has structure which can be considered to be an enlarged radially extending member and has several areas of this radially extending member which are curved. Attached to this action is a marked up copy of figure 7 of Cunningham which points out structure which meets the above limitation.

The rejections stand.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10. examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 571-272-6679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:30 p.m.,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger 4/6/05
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3617

Tuesday, April 05, 2005

Oct. 7, 1952

M. M. CUNNINGHAM

2,613,169

ATTORNEY

METHOD OF MAKING COLLAPSIBLE CONTAINERS

Filed Feb. 16, 1950.

5 Sheets-Sheet 2

